Allison C Nugent, PhD Experimental Therapeutics and Pathophysiology Branch NIMH/NIH/DHHS

MRI OF MOOD DISORDERS



Outline

- What are mood disorders?
- How do we treat mood disorders?
- What can imaging teach us about mood disorders and their treatment?

Outline

- What are mood disorders?
- How do we treat mood disorders?
- What can imaging teach us about mood disorders and their treatment?

Mood Disorders

- Disorders featuring a disturbance in mood as the primary feature
- Disorders of depressed mood
 - Major depressive disorder, etc.
- Disorders of elevated mood
- Disorders cycling between depressed and elevated moods
 25 1
 - Bipolar disorder, types I and II₂₀
- Highly prevalent



Major Depressive Disorder

- Either depressed mood or anhedonia
- 4 of 7 additional symptoms
 - Weight loss or gain
 - Insomnia or hypersomnia
 - Psychomotor agitation or retardation
 - Fatigue
 - Feelings of worthlessness or guilt
 - Cognitive problems
 - Recurrent thoughts of death or suicide
- Symptoms must have lasted more than 2 weeks, cause impairment, and not be due to a medical condition or medication



Major Depressive Disorder

Ighly Heterogeneous

- Two patients with MDD could overlap on only one symptom
- Heritable, but no clear genetic pattern
 In 2012, 6.9% of US adults had at least one episode in the past year – 16 million

Bipolar Disorder



- Alternating periods of depression and mania (BDI) or hypomania (BDII)
- Manic episode: elevated, expansive, or irritable mood
- Sof 7 symptoms (4 if only irritable)
 - Inflated self esteem
 - Decreased need for sleep
 - Talkative, pressured speech
 - Racing thoughts
 - Distractibility
 - Increased goal-directed activity
 - Excessive involvement in pleasurable activities
- Present for at least a week, causes impairment, and not due to a medical condition or medication
- Psychosis, requiring hospitalization, and severe impairment are exclusionary for BDII

Bipolar Disorder

- Twelve month prevalence of 2.6%, 82.9% of these cases are severe
- Highly heritable, but no clear genetic pattern
- Frequently disabling, with high prevalence of suicide

Outline

What are mood disorders?
How do we treat mood disorders?
What can imaging teach us about mood disorders and their treatment?

How Do We Treat Depression?

Not very well

• MDD:

- SSRI
- SNRI
- TCA
- MAOI
- ECT, TMS, DBS



Kirsch, et al. PLoS Med (2008) 5(2):e45

How Do We Treat Depression?

- Only ~35% of patients with depression will respond to the first drug
- Full response is not evident for 6-8 weeks
- There are no markers to guide choice of treatment
- There are no drugs specifically developed to treat depression in the context of BD



How Do We Treat Mania?

- Only one drug ever developed to treat BD: Lithium
- Alternatively treated with antipsychotics or anticonvulsants
- Frequently severe enough to require hospitalization
- In one study of patients followed after their first hospitalization, only 43% recovered their previous level of occupational and residential function (Tohen 2003).
- Studying bipolar mania is exceedingly difficult

Neurobiology of Depression: Core Brain Regions



- Subgenual cingulate cortex, BA25
- Amygdala

Neurobiology of Depression:

Level

Cortex





Monoaminergic Pathways Within the Brain Implicated in Depression





Our Approach

- Alternative Targets
 - Monoaminergic drugs rapidly effect the target neurotransmitter system, but effects are delayed
 - Downstream effects can be targeted more efficiently
- Search for correlates of treatment response to identify potential biomarkers of response

Ketamine

FDA approved anesthetic and Schedule III controlled substance
 NMDA receptor antagonist

OPOTENT psychotomimetic effects

Scopolamine

- Cholinergic muscarinic antagonist
- Can cause delirium in high doses









Scopolamine: Depressed Outpatients



Time Relative to First Infusion

Furey et al., Arch Gen Psychiatry, 2006

Drevets and Furey, Biol Psychiatry, 2010

Outline

What are mood disorders?
How do we treat mood disorders?
What can imaging teach us about mood disorders and their treatment?

How can we use imaging?

- Find brain "biomarkers" that can subdivide MDD and BD into distinct phenotypes
- Find brain "biomarkers" that can reliably predict who will respond to a given intervention
- To be truly useful, any marker should be agent specific
- Markers may change in response to treatment, and display a dose-response relationship

Potential Markers

- Structure
 - Volume
 - White Matter
 - Conformation
- Function
 - Cognitive Tasks
 - Resting State

- Long history of manual segmentation of structures
- Nearly every structure examined has been shown to be larger, smaller, or no different than in healthy control subjects
 Why? Medication effects, differing

segmentation techniques, etc.

Source				Cohen's d	and 95% (
	Cohen's	p-Value					
Sheline et al. 1996	-1.115	.020	- ⊬		- 1	1	- 1
Frodi et al. 2006	-0.995	<.001					- 1
Lange et al. 2004	-0.945	.009		<u> </u>			- 1
Vythilingam et al. 2002	-0.891	.007			- 1		- 1
Weniger et al. 2006	-0.889	.005			- 1		- 1
Colla et al. 2007	-0.873	.013		_	- 1		- 1
Hickie et al. 2005	-0.866	.001			-		- 1
Bremner et al. 2000	-0.776	.034		- _	_		
Sheline et al. 2003	-0.773	.001			-		
Mervaala et al. 2000	-0.691	.023			-1		
Maller et al. 2007	-0.652	.007			- 1		
Ballmaier et al. 2007	-0.639	.001			-		
Neumeister et al. 2005	-0.601	.008			- 1		
MacQueen et al. 2003	-0.567	.022			_1		
Saylam et al. 2006	-0.542	.065			<u> </u>		
Steffens et al. 2000	-0.520	.061			-		
Janssen et al. 2004	-0.450	.070			→		
Von Gunten et al. 2000	-0.382	.317			-		
O'Brien et al. 2004	-0.309	.131					
Ashtari et al. 1999	-0.297	.172			→		
Lloyd et al. 2004	-0.248	.173					
Vythilingam et al. 2004	-0.166	.487			_		
Velakoulis et al. 2006	-0.068	.827			_	-	- 1
Taylor et al. 2005	-0.062	.658			_		- 1
Caetano et al. 2004	-0.059	.793		- 1 -	_		- 1
Frodi et al. 2002b	-0.009	.973			_		- 1
Posener et al. 2003	0.032	.897				-	- 1
Axelson et al. 1993	0.068	.816				_	
Vakili et al. 2000	0.175	.527				_	- 1
Rusch et al. 2001	0.240	.464					- 1
Monkul et al. 2007	0.288	.337		1	<u> </u>		I
Combined effect (N=2105)	-0.408	<.001					- 1
			-2,00	-1,00	0,00	1,00	2,0
			Sn	naller Volume		Larger Volun	ne

Koolschijn, et al. Human Brain Mapping (2009) 30(11):3719-3735



- High resolution hippocampal mapping at 7T
- Assessing curvature, surface area, and shape





Adam Thomas and Anna Goodwin





Significant negative association between length of current episode and reduced volume in the subicular subfield of the hippocampus.

Adam Thomas

What about the amygdala?

- Intimately involved in emotional processing and memory
- Extremely difficult to examine structurally
- In an area prone to magnetic susceptibility artifacts







MDD and Brain Structure: Cortex

ENIGMA Major Depressive Disorder Workgroup N=2104 MDD, N=7971 HC



Schmaal, et al., OHBM 2015



- Meta-analysis
- 3 TBSS studies, and 8 VBA studies
- Reduced FA in CC, Iongitudinal fasciculus, fronto-occipital fasciculus, and thalamic radiation

Liao, et al. (2013) J Psychiatry Neurosci 38(1):49-56.

- Choi, et al. Neuropsychopharmacology (2014) 39(6):1332-1339.
- MDD (N=134) and HC (N=54)
- 98 treatment naïve MDD
- All medication free
- No differences found

Potential Markers

- Structure
 - Volume
 - White Matter
 - Conformation
- Function
 - Cognitive Tasks
 - Resting State

MDD and cognition

- Affective Processing
 - Bias towards negative stimuli in depression
- Attention
 - Dot probe tasks
- Working memory and executive function
 - N-back task, delayed matching tasks
- Reward processing

Emotion Processing: Depression



Structure	Direction of Effect	Valence Specific Effect?	Talairach Coordinates	Cluster Size (mm ³)	Number
Amygdala	Depressed > Comparison	Yes	24, -4, -13	318	1
Dorsal anterior cingulate cortex	Depressed > Comparison	Yes	-2, 30, 20	196	2
Insula and superior temporal gyrus	Depressed > Comparison	Yes	-38, -6, -8	834	3
Precentral gyrus	Depressed > Comparison	Yes	-30, -15, 44	621	-
Middle temporal gyrus	Depressed > Comparison	Yes	-39, -64, 17	440	-
Dorsolateral prefrontal cortex	Comparison > Depressed	Yes	30, 13, 47	1,380	4
Dorsolateral prefrontal cortex	Comparison > Depressed	No	-22, 27, 42	949	-
Caudate body	Comparison > Depressed	No	10, 20, 6	382	5

Meta-analysis

- 14 rCBF and 24 fMRI studies
- Hyper-reactivity in salience network in response to negative stimulus vs. positive or neutral stimulus
- Hypo-reactivity in DLPFC (executive network)
- Depressed subjects also showed reduced striatal response to positive stimuli

Hamilton, et al. (2012) Am J Psychiatry 169(7):693-703

Emotion Processing: Depression

Negative Emotions

Positive Emotions

Meta-analysis

• 44 fMRI studies

• Hyperactivation to negative stimuli and hypoactivation to positive stimuli

Groenewold, et al. (2012) Neurosci and Biobehav Rev 37(2):152-163



Dot Probe Task

Happy Block: Angry Block: Congruent Incongruent Trial Trial + +Congruent Control Trial Trial

Dot probe task



Mixed Model: Emotion * Diagnosis Interaction $p_{corr} < 0.10$

Parietal cortex, DLPFC, Middle temporal cortex, orbital cortex / sgACC Supports the idea of an emotional processing bias at a systems level

Jessica Ihne, et al.

Dot Probe Task: Ketamine

Response to negative stimuli at baseline correlates with subsequent antidepressant response







Jessica Ihne, et al.





Right Cuneus K=51 P<0.01



Posterior Cingulate K=33 P<0.03





Right Fusiform K=32 P<0.04

Scopolamine: Working Memory and Selective Attention





Explicit Face Processing Implicit Emotion Processing Explicit Emotion Processing Implicit Face Processing Explicit Face Processing Implicit Emotion Processing

Furey, et al. JAMA Psychiatry (2013), 70(3):280-90

Furey, et al. Int J Neuropsychopharmacol (2015), 18(8)

Scopolamine: Working Memory and Selective Attention



Patients showing the greatest antidepressant response showed a negative bias, such that the response to negative stimuli was less than the response to positive stimuli during implicit processing in the selective attention task

Patients showing the greatest antidepressant response showed little change or slight reductions in BOLD activity while attending to emotion in both the encoding and test components of the working memory task



Furey, et al. Int J Neuropsychopharmacol (2015), 18(8)



Furey, et al., under review

What do these results tell us?

- Hyperactivity to negative stimuli
 - Amygdala
 - Dorsal cingulate
 - Insula/superior temporal
- Hypoactivity to negative stimuli
 - DLPFC
 - Striatum
- Associations with treatment
 - Middle occipital / visual
 - Posterior Cingulate / cuneus



I AM NOT A SUCCESS STORY.

VS.



my head is currently a horrible place to be.

Resting State Networks

- Oefault Mode Network
- Salience Network
- Executive Control Network



- Hyperconnectivity in the sgACC and thalamus compared to healthy subjects
- These are areas of hyperactivity as shown by PET and MRI meta-analyses
- Increased resting state connectivity in sgACC has been replicated in metaanalyses.

Greicius, et al. (2007) Biological Psychiatry 62(5):429-37

Resting State



While posterior default mode network responds to antidepressant treatment, dysfunction in the anterior default mode network is unchanged

Baojuan, et al. (2012) Biological Psychiatry 74(1):48-54

Default Mode Connectivity Associated with Response to Ketamine:



Average beta weight within an anatomical Posterior Cingulate ROI



% Change in MADRS score Pre- vs. post-infusion

Significant Posterior Cingulate Cluster Peak Coordinate = (1.8, 50, 26.5), t = 6.98, extent = 33





What do these results tell us?

- Hyperactivity to negative stimuli
 - Amygdala
 - Dorsal cingulate
 - Insula/superior temporal
- Hypoactivity to negative stimuli
 - DLPFC
 - Striatum
- Associations with treatment (activity)
 - Middle occipital / visual
 - Posterior Cingulate / cuneus
- Associations with treatment (connectivity)
 - Posterior Cingulate / cuneus
 - Medial PFC

Default Mode Network

Resting State Networks

- Oefault Mode Network
- Salience Network
- Executive Control Network



Seeley, et al. (2007) J Neurosci 27(9):2349-2356



Manoliu, et al. (2014) Frontiers in Human Neurosci vol 7



Sheline, et al. (2010) PNAS 107(24):11020-11025



 Meta-analysis, 32 studies, separate analyses for results showing increased and decreased connectivity

Sundermann, et al. (2014) Front Hum Neurosci

MDD and the Resting State: Meta-analysis, 25 studies



Kaiser, et al. (2015) JAMA Psychiatry 72(6):603-611

What do these results tell us?

Hyperactivity to negative stimuli

- Amygdala
- Dorsal cingulate
- Insula/superior temporal
- Hypoactivity to negative stimuli
 - DLPFC
 - Striatum
- Hyperconnectivity
 - Executive control network
 - Default Mode network
 - Subgenual cingulate
- Hypoconnectivity
 - Salience network

Salience Network

Central Executive / Executive Control Network

Triple Network Model



Triple Network Model



Manoliu, et al. (2014) Frontiers in Human Neurosci vol 7

What isn't known

- It is the interplay between these networks?
- If there are dynamic changes in the relationship between these networks
- If there are fundamental differences in network function at a neuronal level

Conclusions

- Mood disorders are frequently disabling, but poorly understood and ineffectively treated
- New models are emerging, such as the triple network model, which may be significant in understanding brain function in mood disorders
- Translation of these models into new drug targets is not obvious
- Full understanding will likely involve multimodality approaches, integrating structure, function and other diverse modalities

Acknowledgements

Chief: Carlos A. Zarate

Scientific Staff:

Rodrigo Machado-Viera David Luckenbaugh Joanna Szczepanik Clinical Support Staff:

Rezvan Ameli Nancy Brutsche Yamila Carmona Madeline Gupta Libby Jolkovsky Immaculata Ukoh 7SE and OP4 staff Support Staff: Brenda Gray

Eva Kakoza

Clinical Fellows:

Marc Lener Mark Niciu Min Park Erica Richards Post doctoral fellows: Elizabeth Ballard Jennifer Evans Jessica Ihne

Post-baccalaureate IRTAs

Hannah Berg Brittany Jaso Laura Newman Rory Pettigrew Sam Snider Kathleen Wills Aaron Yazdian Summer IRTA Anna Goodwin

NIH Contributors:

Staff of the fMRIF Staff of the MRS Core Staff of the SSCC Li An Adam Thomas Daniel Handwerker



