# Intro to pharmacological (ph)MRI and EEG-fMRI

Jennifer Evans 26Jul17 FMRIF Summer Course

#### **Outline**

- Pharmacological MRI
	- Role of fMRI in drug discovery
	- Types (study design)
		- examples
	- Confounding factors & how to mitigate them
- Basics of EEG-fMRI
	- Nuts and bolts
	- Example study
- Summary



### Pharmacological fMRI

- An fMRI experiment + drug administration
- Pharmacological modulation of
	- ʻactivity' over pharmacokinetic timescales
	- task-related `activity`
	- ʻresting state activity'
- Recall that BOLD (Blood Oxygenation Level Dependent Imaging) signals are a function of changes in
	- Metabolic oxygen consumption
	- Cerebral blood flow
	- Cerebral blood volume

#### Pharmacological imaging

- Demonstrate a drug effect on central activity
	- Central penetration?
	- Choosing a dose
- Provide confidence for go/no-go decisions in drug development
- Objectively identify target targets for drug action
- Suggest / confirm a mechanism of action at brain systems level
	- Comparing compounds with different mechanisms
- A neuroscientific tool for modulating brain systems

#### Drug development process …

• Long and costly





a 57 percent drop from approvals in 2015.



Americans spent \$324.6 billion on prescription drugs in 2015. This amount represents almost 20 percent of US health-care costs per capita.

> John D. Loike and Jennifer Mille ,The Scientist, Feb, 2017, Opinion-- Improving-FDA-Evaluations-Without-Jeopardizing-Safety-and-Efficacy

### (CNS) Drug development



- majority of clinical trials have failed to translate into measurable clinical benefit
- integrate imaging early in drug development
	- to identify direct neural targets
	- determine subgroups (responders, non-responders)
	- dosing

#### Role of imaging in clinical trials



#### Drug penetration into the brain

![](_page_7_Figure_1.jpeg)

Borsook, D. et al, Transl Psychiatry. 2013 Jul 16;3:e282

#### Adjunct to subjective response

![](_page_8_Figure_1.jpeg)

### Study design

![](_page_9_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Resting state changes

• Midazolam sedation Sensory motor and a sensory motor controller and a sensory motor controller and a sensory motor controller and  $D$  efault mode

![](_page_10_Figure_2.jpeg)

Greicius, M et al., Human Brain Mapping 29:839–847 (2008)

#### Response changes

![](_page_11_Figure_1.jpeg)

• Decrease in the response to painful stimulus (dashed lines) during drug administration

#### Acute drug response

![](_page_12_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Figure_2.jpeg)

• 1 min injection of nicotine

 $15$ 

#### Pharmacokinetic response

![](_page_13_Figure_1.jpeg)

Wise et al. Neuropsychopharmacology. 2004

#### Drugs tested

![](_page_14_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### Possible confounding factors…. and solutions

- Cognitive:
	- Placebo effect
		- Study design
- Acquisition:
	- These changes are slow (minutes) and on the same scale as drift artifacts
		- Use multi-echo fMRI?
- Signal:
	- BOLD signal is affected by changes in blood flow/volume
		- Use EEG-fMRI?

#### Placebo effect

- Driven by the expectation that the treatment will bring relief
- Has been shown to have significant overlap with brain regions that are associated with drug response

![](_page_16_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Figure_4.jpeg)

### Study design

![](_page_17_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Figure_2.jpeg)

https://www.eupati.eu/clinical-development-and-trials/clinical-trial-designs/

### Study design considerations

- Open-label / randomized
- Single/ double-blind
- Placebo controlled
- 'Healthy' volunteers and patient population(s)
- Considerations
	- Number of subjects
	- Baseline?
	- Speed of drug action / duration / crossover effects
	- Reliability/repeatability of measurement

#### ETPB examples

#### 04-M-0222 – Ket-MOA

![](_page_19_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### 15-M-0188 - RISC

![](_page_19_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_6.jpeg)

#### 14-M-0085 – Ket-Alc 17-M-0060 – Repeat Dose

![](_page_19_Figure_8.jpeg)

#### Possible confounding factors…. and solutions

- Cognitive:
	- Placebo effect
		- Study design
- Acquisition:
	- These changes are slow (minutes) and on the same scale as drift artifacts
		- Use multi-echo fMRI?
- Signal:
	- BOLD signal is affected by changes in blood flow/volume
		- Use EEG-fMRI?

### Imaging slow stimuli doesn't work well

![](_page_21_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### Response to ketamine infusion

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

### The problem

- With single echo data artifactual drifts are indistinguishable from BOLD signal
	- High pass filter, model
	- set the task frequency higher
	- remove ICA components…

![](_page_23_Figure_5.jpeg)

#### What does fMRI measure?

![](_page_24_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### Multi-echo (ME) fMRI.

![](_page_25_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_3.jpeg)

Kundu, P, Inati, S, Evans, JW et al (2012) NeuroImage Vol 60, Iss 3 2012 1759 - 1770

#### Multi-echo denoising

• Enables the identification of signals that scale with measured TEs

![](_page_26_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### BOLD, EEG signals and visual contrast change.

- BOLD intensity varies as a function of stimulus contrast
- Contrast sensitivity is not linear

![](_page_27_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Figure_0.jpeg)

- Group average timeseries taken over voxels in V1 for a visual block and ramp contrast task
- The thick line is the mean and the shading is the standard error.
- Slope task is not visible in OC or detrended data
- Both tasks are clear in the me-dn BOLD data
- The scanner specific drift is visible in the non-BOLD data
- It effectively cancels the ramp in the OC data

Evans, J.W., et al. NeuroImage 105, 189–197.

#### Group spatial correlation maps

• Task positive correlation spatial extent group maps for a) block and b) ramp tasks for the medn BOLD, OC, detrended and non-BOLD timeseries.

![](_page_29_Figure_2.jpeg)

- The block response is resolved in the detrended data and in the medn
- The ramp task is only seen in the medn data
- No positive task correlation is seen in the OC or non-BOLD data

Evans, J.W., et al. NeuroImage 105, 189–197.

#### Possible confounding factors…. and solutions

- Cognitive:
	- Placebo effect
		- Study design
- Acquisition:
	- These changes are slow (minutes) and on the same scale as drift artifacts
		- Use multi-echo fMRI?
- Signal:
	- BOLD signal is affected by changes in blood flow/volume
		- Use EEG-fMRI?

#### What does fMRI measure?

![](_page_31_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### Neural or vascular changes?

 $N = normal$  response

![](_page_32_Figure_2.jpeg)

Mag Res. Imaging 2007 Jul;25(6):978-88.

#### BOLD imaging confounds

- BOLD is rarely enough on its own as there can be problems with interpretation
- Use MEG/EEG?

![](_page_33_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### EEG signal origins

![](_page_34_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Figure_2.jpeg)

2) GLUTAMATE BINDS TO POST-SYNAPTIC NEURON, CAUSING A SLOWER, LONGER CHANGE IN VOLTAGE CALLED AN EPSP.

![](_page_34_Figure_4.jpeg)

#### Simultaneous EEG-FMRI

![](_page_35_Picture_1.jpeg)

http://nld.tamu.edu/eeg

![](_page_35_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Figure_4.jpeg)

+

#### Possible, but not common…

![](_page_36_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Simultaneous EEG-fMRI setup

![](_page_37_Figure_1.jpeg)

Console room

## Simultaneous EEG-fMRI - Technical issues

• The MR environment adds noise to the EEG recordings…

Approximate magnitudes of different signals

- $EEG: \pm 10 150 \mu V$ Signal of interest
- Gradient artifact  $: +10mV$
- BCG artifact: ± 200µV

#### MR environment artifacts

- Blink:  $\pm$  150 $\mu$ V
- Movement: < 1mV
- ECG:  $\pm$  20 $\mu$ V
- EMG:  $\pm$  50 $\mu$ V
- 

#### Physiological contributions

![](_page_38_Figure_13.jpeg)

https://backyardbrains.com/experiments/EEG

#### Gradient artifact origins

![](_page_39_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Figure_2.jpeg)

- Switching gradients used for creating MR images induce voltage in the EEG sensors
- Artifact is consistent for every slice

#### Importance of Synchronized Acquisition

![](_page_40_Figure_1.jpeg)

• Gradient artifacts (gray) for 3 acquisitions are not completely removed using template averaging (blue, green) if the EEG system and scanner are not synchronized.

![](_page_40_Figure_3.jpeg)

The residual power spectra show increased artifact contributions at high frequencies

#### Gradient artifact correction example

![](_page_41_Picture_4.jpeg)

#### BCG origins

![](_page_42_Figure_1.jpeg)

FT MMMMMMMMMM May May 1May 1M  $T7 \sim 1$ Women  $TS$   $\vee \vee \wedge$ Whan Innel Muny /hnum//MMMMM/Whnmmn/M/MM  $01 \sqrt{m}$ my mnnmm/Mmmmmmm/whmnn  $100 \mu\text{V}$  $1<sub>sec</sub>$ ECG

- Motion related to cardiac activity can give rise to induced voltage EEG recording leads
- Matched with heartbeats, consistent 200 ms delay

![](_page_43_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Picture_39.jpeg)

 $\mathbb T$ 

#### Motion interactions

![](_page_44_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Figure_0.jpeg)

• Shortened P300 response in the complex task, little change in the simple visual task dividend and Diukova A, et al. *Neuroimage*. 2012;62(1):239 249.

800  $\left[\text{ms}\right]$ 

200

 $\mathbf{0}$ 

400

600

#### 'Simulated' Example

![](_page_46_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Picture_8.jpeg)

#### EEG potentials sensitive to contrast and frequency

![](_page_47_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Picture_4.jpeg)

#### EEG Validation

![](_page_48_Figure_1.jpeg)

- The envelope of the EEG signal at the task frequency agrees very well with the task BOLD response
- Confirms the ME-denoised data represents the true task

#### More examples in the next talks

- EEG/fMRI and the study of Language
	- Pete Molfese

![](_page_49_Picture_3.jpeg)

- EEG/fMRI and Neurofeedback
	- Silvina Horovitz

![](_page_49_Picture_6.jpeg)

#### Summary

- Pharmacological fMRI may have many benefits for mapping drug effects in the human brain but remains challenging
- Simultaneous EEG-fMRI is an example of an imaging adjunct to fMRI, there are others (ASL, PET)

#### Acknowlegements

![](_page_51_Picture_1.jpeg)

**National Institute** of Mental Health

#### **ETPB:** Carlos Zarate

Allison Nugent Elizabeth Ballard Lawrence Park Mark Niciu Bashkim Kadriu Marc Lener Erica Richards Jessica Reed Jessica Gilbert Cristan Farmer

Wally Duncan Laura Waldman Nancy Brutsché Madeline Gupta Bruce Luber Sarah Lisanby Libby Jolkovsky Alex Noury Joanna Szczepanik Nick Barker

Charles Bender Peixiong Yuan Bridget Shovestul Julia Yarrington Nadia Hejazi Yumi Yi Thomas Radman Zhi Deng

![](_page_51_Picture_7.jpeg)

Zhongming Liu

SERVICES .

![](_page_51_Picture_9.jpeg)

#### Possible confounding factors….

- Regional changes from:
	- neuronal activity mediated by intact neurovascular coupling.
	- modified non-neuronally-induced metabolic activity, such as may result from local drug binding.
	- vascular tone and hence cerebral blood flow and volume
	- Global changes in cerebral blood flow or volume arising from altered heart rate, blood pressure, or breathing.
- Placebo effect
- These changes are slow (minutes) and on the same scale as drift artifacts

### Baseline modeling …

![](_page_53_Figure_1.jpeg)

DeSimoni S. et al. (2013) NeuroImage 64:75–90

- GLM noise models may remove signal of interest
	- Decrease degrees of freedom
- ICA methods require training on prior data or manual component selection

#### Ketamine Tx of Major Depressive Disorder – NIMH Replication Study.

- 18 unmedicated treatment-resistant MDD pts.
- Randomized, cross-over trial trial of single subanesthetic ketamine vs. placebo infusion

![](_page_54_Figure_3.jpeg)

Adapted from Zarate et al. (2006) *Arch Gen Psychiatry* **63**(8): 856-64 – Figure 2

Courtesy of Mark Niciu, NIMH

#### Repeated-Dose Ketamine Infusions.

- 24 TRD pts.
- Open-label 0.5mg/kg ketamine infusion x 6 over 12 days
- Responders followed naturalistically for up to 83 days (to monitor for relapse)

![](_page_55_Figure_4.jpeg)

Adapted from Murrough *et al.* (2013) *Biol Psychiatry* Epub Ahead of Print 26 Jul 2012 – Figure 1

Courtesy of Mark Niciu, NIMH

#### Gradient artifact correction

![](_page_56_Figure_1.jpeg)

• The increased high frequency contamination is clear when compared to baseline (no gradients) data Mandelkow, H et al (2006) Neuroimage 32 (3) 1120-1126

#### Planned repeat dose study.

![](_page_57_Figure_2.jpeg)

**Phase I:** DB KET + Pharmacodynamic Imaging **Phase II:** OL KET Repeat Dosing

![](_page_58_Figure_0.jpeg)

Wise, RG. JMRI(2006)

![](_page_59_Figure_0.jpeg)

R peak detection native to both pulse ox and ECG data for the ramp task

#### Gradient artifact correction

![](_page_60_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Artefact removal strategies

![](_page_61_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_61_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_62_Figure_0.jpeg)